

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/702,496	10/31/2000		John Border	81798/CEB	81798/CEB 9832	
1333	7590	04/06/2004		EXAM	INER	
PATENT L	EGAL S	TAFF	HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H			
EASTMAN I	KODAK	COMPANY				
343 STATE S	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ROCHESTE	R, NY	14650-2201	1722			

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A>				
₹ -	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/702,496	BORDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donald Heckenberg	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 December 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1. 	action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2002 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

- 1. This application contains claims 7-13 drawn to an invention nonelected with without traverse in a response filed December 9, 2002. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 2. Claim 1 recites "a molten plastic or a plastic preform" in lines 6-7. Claim 1 further recites "said solid plastic material" in lines 7-8. It is evident from the disclosure of the instant application that the reference to solid plastic material in lines 7-8 is the same molten plastic or plastic preform material referenced in lines 6-7. However, for clarity it would be better if the same terminology was used throughout the claim.
 - 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point

Application/Control Number: 09/702,496

Art Unit: 1722

out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites in lines 3-5 that the first mold base of the apparatus comprises a first alignment member for cooperating with correspondingly aligned apertures in the second mold base. Lines 12-18 recite that the apparatus comprises a set of alignment features wherein "said first alignment member comprises a pair of spaced guide pins for engaging a pair of corresponding spaced apertures formed in said second mold base, and wherein said second alignment member comprises a pair of spaced locating bushings tapered for lengthwise engagement with a pair of corresponding spaced apertures in said second mold base[.]" Thus, claim 1 seems to recite the first alignment members acting with two different "first apertures." Moreover, as now written there is no antecedent basis for "said second alignment member" in the line 16. Therefore, the scope of the claimed invention is impossible to discern, and the claim is indefinite.

Claim 1 has been also amended to recite that the microlens mold has a size "of <u>about</u> 10 microns to <u>about</u> 25 mm in diameter and a sag of <u>about</u> 2 microns to 12.5 mm[.]" The term "about" as used in this phrase is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "about" is not defined by the claim, the

specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to discern what the claimed ranges actually are.

- 5. The following claim is submitted for Applicant's consideration. This claim (which not taught or suggested by the prior art of record) would overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 and includes the suggestion noted above:
- --1. Apparatus for manufacturing a double-sided microlens comprising:
- a first mold base and a second mold base, wherein each of said first mold base and said second mold base has a pair of juxtaposed mold cavities for receiving a molten plastic or a plastic preform in a fixed relationship, wherein each one of said juxtaposed mold cavities contains at least one microlens mold having a size of 10 microns to 25 mm in diameter and a sag of 2 microns to 12.5 mm in a substrate configured to receive said at least one microlens mold in a precise relations to another of said at least one microlens mold; and a set of alignment features for aligning said pair of juxtaposed mold

cavities containing said molten plastic or plastic preform; said set of alignment features including a first alignment member comprising a pair of spaced guide pins for engaging a pair of corresponding spaced apertures formed in said second mold base, and a second alignment member comprising a pair of spaced locating bushing tapered for lengthwise engagement with a pair of corresponding spaced tapered apertures in said second mold base; and,

a molding assemblage having a first platen and an opposing second platen, said first platen supporting said first mold base and said second platen supporting said second mold base for molding a double-sided microlens in said microlens molds.--

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-1131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker, can be reached at (571) 272-1151. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see <<http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Heckenberg April 2, 2004

> ROBERT DAVIS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 / 700

> > 1/7/7